

Когнитивные науки в информационном обществе / Cognitive Sciences in the Information Society <https://knio.ru/>

2026, Том 6, № 2 / 2026, Vol. 6, Iss. 2 <https://knio.ru/issue-2-2026.html>

URL статьи: <https://knio.ru/PDF/06KN226.pdf>

5.3.1. Общая психология, психология личности, история психологии

Ссылка для цитирования этой статьи:

Юхтина, П. Н. Эффект Хамелеона: Почему мы неосознанно копируем друг друга / П. Н. Юхтина, Ю. М. Зинина // Когнитивные науки в информационном обществе. — 2026. — Том 6. — № 2. — URL: <https://knio.ru/PDF/06KN226.pdf>.

For citation:

Yukhtina P.N., Zinina Yu.M. The chameleon effect: Why we unconsciously copy each other. *Cognitive Sciences in the Information Society*. 2026;6(2): 06KN226. Available at: <https://knio.ru/PDF/06KN226.pdf>. (In Russ., abstract in Eng.).

Yukhtina Polina Nikolaevna

Sones Logistics LLC, Moscow, Russia
State University of Education, Moscow, Russia
E-mail: paulina.yuhtina@yandex.ru

Zinina Yulia Mikhailovna

State University of Education, Moscow, Russia
Corporate University for Education Development, Moscow, Russia
E-mail: jmzinina@gmail.com
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5555-9791>

The Chameleon effect: Why we unconsciously copy each other

Abstract. The article examines the chameleon effect as the unconscious imitation of a conversation: partner's posture, gestures, facial expressions, and speech patterns during social interaction. It shows that this phenomenon has deep historical and neurobiological roots, with mirror neurons and the perception-behavior link providing its basic mechanism. Drawing on the research of T. Chartrand and J. Bargh, the article highlights the automatic nature of mimicry and its role in creating liking, trust, and psychological comfort. Special attention is given to the effect of the chameleon effect on interpersonal relationships, marital similarity, teamwork, negotiations, and customer service. The article also analyzes both the advantages and risks of mimicry, including possible manipulation, cultural inappropriateness, and reinforcement of group differences. In conclusion, practical recommendations are offered for using this mechanism in everyday communication with moderation, naturalness, and respect for the interlocutor.

Keywords: chameleon effect; unconscious mimicry; social interaction; mirror neurons; empathy; communication; nonverbal behavior

1. The Concept of the "Chameleon Effect"

The chameleon effect is the unconscious tendency of individuals to mimic the postures, gestures, mannerisms, facial expressions, and speech patterns of their interaction partners during social encounters. The term was introduced by psychologists Tanya Chartrand and John Bargh in 1999 to highlight the distinction between intentional imitation ("to ape") and automatic, involuntary copying that occurs without our awareness or control [1, p. 893].

This phenomenon operates as a subtle yet powerful mechanism of social bonding. When we interact with others, our bodies automatically attune to them: we begin to sit in the same posture, replicate their gestures, and catch their smiles.

And this happens without any conscious intention. As researchers describe it, this is a kind of "social glue" that binds our relationships with those around us [2, p. 2].

At the core of this effect lies a fundamental principle of brain functioning known as the *perception-behavior link*: simply observing another person's action increases the likelihood that we will perform that same action ourselves [1, p. 893].

2. History of the Concept

Although the term itself emerged only at the end of the 20th century, observations of the phenomenon of unconscious imitation have a long history.

As early as 1872, Charles Darwin, in his book "The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals", described how spectators at sporting events involuntarily tense their muscles, as if helping the athlete. He called this "a strong tendency to imitation, independently of the conscious will" [3, p. 369].

In the 19th century, English naturalist Henry Walter Bates, inspired by Darwin's theory, studied mimicry among animals. He discovered so-called "Batesian mimicry," where harmless species of butterflies copy the coloration of poisonous ones to protect themselves from predators. Although this referred to physical resemblance, the very idea that imitation serves an adaptive function laid the foundation for future research [4].

In the 20th century, interest in imitation shifted toward human behavior. Swiss psychologist Jean Piaget, in 1946, incorporated imitation into his theory of cognitive development, viewing it as an important stage in the formation of symbolic thinking in children [1, p. 893–894].

A breakthrough occurred in the 1960s when Albert Bandura conducted his famous *Bobo doll* experiment. He demonstrated that children copy aggressive behavior from adults even without receiving instructions or rewards. This formed the basis of his social learning theory.

However, all these studies concerned conscious or goal-directed imitation. The question of automatic, unconscious mimicry remained open until the late 1990s, when Chartrand and Bargh conducted their classic experiments [1, p. 905].

3. Previous Research. Experiments and Studies

The Discovery of Mirror Neurons (Iacoboni, Rizzolatti).

Before turning to the Chartrand and Bargh experiments, it is important to mention the discovery that explains *why* the chameleon effect is even possible. In the 1990s, Italian scientists led by Marco Iacoboni and Giacomo Rizzolatti discovered special cells in the macaque brain, which they named *mirror neurons* [5, p. 659]. These neurons are activated in two situations:

- when the monkey performs an action itself (for example, grasping a nut);
- when the monkey observes someone else performing the same action.

The brain does not see a fundamental difference between "I do" and "I see others doing". This discovery provided a neurophysiological foundation for the chameleon effect: observing an action automatically prepares our brain to repeat it [5, p. 659–660; 6; 7].

The Chartrand and Bargh Experiments (1999)

In their landmark study, Tanya Chartrand and John Bargh conducted a series of three experiments to demonstrate the existence of the chameleon effect and investigate its mechanisms [1].

First Experiment: Testing Automaticity

Seventy-eight students participated in a task where they described photographs alongside another "participant" (actually a confederate). Depending on the condition, the confederate either rubbed their face or shook their foot throughout the interaction. The participants were secretly videotaped.

The results showed that participants unconsciously copied the behavior they observed. In the presence of a "face-rubbing" confederate, they touched their own faces significantly more often; in the presence of a "foot-shaking" confederate, they shook their own feet more often. The frequency of face-touching increased by approximately 20 %, and foot-shaking by 50 %, compared to control conditions. Moreover, in post-experiment interviews, none of the participants noticed that they had been copying another person's behavior. This confirmed that the effect operates automatically and unconsciously [1, p. 897–900].

Second Experiment: Social Function

The second experiment tested whether mimicry influences liking and the quality of interaction. Participants again interacted with a confederate while describing photographs. In the experimental group, the confederate subtly mimicked the participant's postures and gestures. In the control group, the confederate behaved neutrally.

After the conversation, participants rated their interaction partner and the conversation itself on a 9-point scale. The results were clear:

- those who had been mimicked rated their partner as more likable (6.62 vs. 5.91).
- they also rated the interaction as smoother and more comfortable (6.76 vs. 6.02).

This demonstrated that mimicry serves an important social function: it increases liking and creates a sense of rapport [1, p. 900–904].

Third Experiment: Individual Differences

The third experiment examined whether the tendency to mimic depends on personality traits. Participants completed a questionnaire measuring two types of empathy: cognitive (the ability to adopt another's perspective) and emotional (the capacity for empathic concern). They then interacted with a confederate who, as in the first experiment, rubbed their face and shook their foot.

It turned out that individuals with high levels of cognitive empathy (perspective-taking) mimicked significantly more: they rubbed their faces 30 % more and shook their feet 50 % more than those with low scores. The level of emotional empathy, however, had no effect on the tendency to mimic. This led to an important conclusion: the chameleon effect is linked to cognitive attention to others, not merely to emotional reactions to their states [1, p. 904–905].

4. Pros and Cons of the Effect

Pros

1. *Social Glue*. Mimicry facilitates the establishment and strengthening of social bonds. We feel more comfortable with those who are similar to us, and unconscious copying signals to the brain: "This person is one of us; we are on the same wavelength" [1, p. 897].

2. *Empathy and Understanding*. By copying an interlocutor's facial expression, we do not merely replicate a grimace — through feedback from facial muscles, our brain begins to better understand the emotion the other person is experiencing. This is a mechanism underlying empathy [5, p. 654].

3. *Facilitating Communication*. Research shows that imitating an accent or speech patterns literally helps us better comprehend meaning, especially when the interlocutor speaks with an unfamiliar accent [8, p. 13–14].

4. *Increasing Liking*. As Chartrand and Bargh's second experiment showed, people who are mimicked begin to feel more positively toward the mimicker, even without realizing why [1, p. 902].

Cons

5. *Excess and Unnaturalness*. If copying is too obvious or immediate, it produces the opposite effect. The interlocutor's brain detects the mimicry, and you begin to seem unnatural, fake, or even unsettling (the "uncanny valley" effect) [9, p. 202].

6. *Mockery and Stereotypes*. This problem is particularly acute when copying accents or mannerisms associated with ethnic or cultural minorities. What you do for "rapport" may be perceived as offensive caricature [9, p. 183].

7. *Reinforcing Group Biases*. We tend to mimic members of "out-groups" less. This unconsciously reinforces barriers and strengthens the division between "us" and "them" [2, p. 3].

8. *Conformity*. Unconscious copying can extend not only to gestures but also to harmful habits or negative attitudes of those around us [10, p. 339].

5. The Chameleons in Couples. The Paradox of Physical Resemblance

One of the most interesting manifestations of the chameleon effect can be observed in long-term romantic relationships. There is a common belief that spouses grow to look like each other over the years. And this observation has scientific backing [1, p. 907].

In 1987, psychologist Robert Zajonc and his colleagues conducted a study comparing photographs of married couples at the time of their wedding and after 25 years of marriage [11, p. 335]. Independent judges assessed the degree of physical resemblance. The result was striking: couples who had lived together for a quarter of a century indeed became physically more similar [11, p. 341].

How can this be explained? Zajonc suggested that the key factor is decades-long unconscious mimicry. Spouses copy each other's facial expressions thousands of times. If one frequently smiles, the other over time begins to smile just as often. Shared emotions and shared facial expressions cause the same facial muscles to work in similar ways over many years. This shapes similar lines and wrinkles — so-called "expression lines" [11, p. 344; 12].

Thus, the physical resemblance of older couples is not the result of initial choice (although that may also play a role) [11, p. 341], but a consequence of decades of unconscious mutual copying. The paradox is that the longer people are together, the more similar they become, and the more the chameleon effect continues to bring them closer.

6. Chameleons at Work

In the work environment, the chameleon effect plays an important role, often going unnoticed but significantly influencing productivity, team interaction, and even financial outcomes.

Team Synchronization

When a group of people works cohesively, researchers observe synchronization not only of behavior but also of physiological indicators. Members of effective teams unconsciously copy each other's postures and gestures [1, p. 907]. This creates an atmosphere of trust and psychological safety necessary for productive work. A leader who is relaxed and uses open gestures subconsciously "infects" the team with this state. If a manager is tense and fidgety, the team begins to copy that anxiety, even if nothing is said about it.

Negotiations and Sales

Polish researchers Kulesza and Dolinski [3] conducted an experiment in hotels and shops. Salespeople and clerks used simple verbal mimicry: they repeated the structure of the customer's phrase.

Customer: "I have a reservation for a single room in the name of Vanko".

Typical response: "Okay, let me check".

Response with mimicry: "Okay, you have a reservation for a single room in the name of Vanko, let me check".

The results showed that customers who were mimicked rated the employee as friendlier and more competent. Moreover, the positive attitude extended not only to the employee but to the entire company. The chameleon effect, occurring in a brief conversation, influenced brand loyalty [3; 13].

Onboarding

Newcomers to a team instinctively begin to copy the behavior of established members. Without any instructions, they adopt communication styles, speech tempo, and accepted postures and gestures [14, p. 316]. This is the fastest and most effective way of entering the corporate culture. If the team has an open and friendly communication style, the newcomer will "absorb" it through mimicry within a couple of weeks.

Negotiations with Foreigners and Intercultural Communication

In international negotiations, conscious awareness of the chameleon effect can be particularly useful. Slightly slowing down one's speech [8, p. 13–14], attuning to the interlocutor's pace, and carefully copying their posture can significantly improve mutual understanding [1, p. 902–903]. However, this is also where the main danger lies: copying an accent or specific cultural gestures may be perceived as mockery.

7. Practical Advice

How can we use knowledge of the chameleon effect in everyday life without crossing the line where mimicry becomes unnatural or offensive?

Here are the recommendations:

1. Posture. 30–60 seconds after starting a conversation, you can subtly adopt the same posture as your interlocutor. If they are sitting with crossed legs, you can do the same, but not instantly. If they are leaning against a wall, stand nearby and lean as well. This creates a bodily bridge, signaling to the subconscious: "We are alike" [1, p. 902–903].

2. Gestures. Do not copy complex or noticeable gestures — this will look like mocking. Use the "scaling" technique: if the interlocutor makes a broad hand gesture, you can make a small hand movement in the same direction [2, p. 2].

3. Speech. The safest and most effective level of attunement. If a person speaks quickly and loudly, slightly accelerate your speech and raise your voice a little. If they speak slowly and quietly, ease up. This subconsciously signals: "We are in the same rhythm" [8, p. 13–14].

4. Develop Cognitive Empathy. As Chartrand and Bargh's third experiment showed, the key factor enhancing natural mimicry is the ability to adopt another person's perspective [1, p. 904]. This is a skill that can be developed. Try to consciously ask yourself: "What is this person feeling right now? What are they thinking? How do they see the situation?" Simply paying attention to your interlocutor will automatically make your unconscious mimicry more natural and effective.

What NOT to Do.

- **do not copy negative emotions.** If your interlocutor is tense or irritated, do not frown in response. It is better to maintain a calm, open posture. This can help "anchor" them and bring them out of a stressed state [15, p. 147–148];

- **do not imitate face-touching.** Copying touches to the face or hair is too intimate a zone. It is easily noticed and causes discomfort;

- **do not overdo it.** The key to successful mimicry is its subtlety. If you are caught copying, the effect is destroyed. Research suggests that the optimal delay between the interlocutor's action and your response should be 2–4 seconds [9, p. 200].

8. Conclusion

The chameleon effect is not merely an amusing psychological phenomenon. It is a fundamental mechanism of human communication, built into us at the neural level through the mirror neurons discovered by Iacoboni. We are "wired" to resonate with each other, to copy and to understand.

As Chartrand and Bargh's experiments demonstrated, this unconscious synchronization begins at the very first encounter with a stranger, enhances liking, and creates the foundation for trusting relationships. It smooths our workplace interactions and, according to Zajonc, even shapes the physical resemblance of spouses over many years of shared life.

Understanding this mechanism allows us not only to better comprehend our own behavior but also to consciously use it to build stronger connections — with colleagues, clients, friends, and loved ones. The key is to remember moderation, respect for the interlocutor, and naturalness.

REFERENCES

1. Chartrand, T.L., Bargh, J.A. (1999). The chameleon effect: The perception-behavior link and social interaction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76 (6), 893–910.
2. Kavanagh, L.C., Winkielman, P. (2016). The functionality of spontaneous mimicry and its influences on affiliation: An implicit socialization account. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1–6.
3. Kulesza, W., Dolinski, D., Muniak, P., Borkowska, J., Bibikova, P., & Grzyb, T. (2023). The chameleon effect in customer relationship management: Experiments on the spillover effects of mimicry in natura settings of a chain hotel and a chain grocery shop. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14.

4. Bates, H.W. (1862). Contributions to an Insect Fauna of the Amazon Valley: Lepidopter: Heliconidae. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London, 25, 495–566.
5. Iacoboni, M. (2009). Imitation, empathy, and mirror neurons. Annual Review of Psychology, 60, 653–670.
6. Rizzolatti, G., Craighero, L. (2004). The mirror-neuron system. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 27, 169–192.
7. Carr, E.W., Winkielman, P., & Oveis, C. (2014). Transforming the mirror: Power fundamentally changes facial responding to emotional expressions. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 143 (3), 997–1003.
8. Dijksterhuis, A., Bargh, J.A. (2001). The perception-behavior expressway: Automatic effects of social perception on social behavior. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 33, 1–40.
9. Arnold, A.J., Winkielman, P. (2020). The mimicry among us: Intra- and inter-personal mechanisms of spontaneous mimicry. Journal of Nonverbal Behavior, 44(1), 195–212.
10. Lakin, J.L., Chartrand, T.L. (2003). Using nonconscious behavioral mimicry to create affiliation and rapport. Psychological Science, 14(4), 334–339.
11. Zajonc, R.B., Adelman, K.A., Murphy, S.T., & Niedenthal, P.M. (1987). Convergence in the physical appearance of spouses. Motivation and Emotion, 11(4), 335–346.
12. Dimberg, U., Thunberg, M., & Elmehed, K. (2000). Unconscious facial reactions to emotional facial expressions. Psychological Science, 11(1), 86–89.
13. Tanner, R.J., Ferraro, R., Chartrand, T.L., Bettman, J.R., & Van Baaren, R. (2008). Of chameleons and consumption: The impact of mimicry on choice and preferences. Journal of Consumer Research, 34(6), 754–766.
14. Stel, M., Vonk, R. (2010). Mimicry in social interaction: Benefits for mimickers, mimickees, and their interaction. British Journal of Psychology, 101(2), 311–323.
15. Hess, U., Fischer, A. (2013). Emotional mimicry as social regulation. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 17(2), 142–157.

Юхтина Полина Николаевна

ООО «Сонес Лоджистикс», Москва, Россия

Ведущий менеджер по работе с персоналом

ФГАОУ ВО «Государственный университет просвещения», Москва, Россия

Зинина Юлия Михайловна

ФГАОУ ВО «Государственный университет просвещения», Москва, Россия

Доцент кафедры «Иностранных языков»

ГАОУ ДПО МО «Корпоративный университет развития образования», Москва, Россия

Доцент кафедры «Социально-гуманитарных дисциплин»

Кандидат филологических наук

E-mail: jmzinina@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5555-9791>

Эффект Хамелеона: Почему мы неосознанно копируем друг друга

Аннотация. В статье рассматривается эффект хамелеона как неосознанная имитация разговора: поз, жестов, мимики и речевых паттернов партнера во время социального взаимодействия. Показано, что этот феномен имеет глубокие исторические и нейробиологические корни, а его основным механизмом являются зеркальные нейроны и связь восприятия и поведения. В статье, основанной на исследованиях Т. Шартрана и Дж. Барги, подчеркивается автоматическая природа мимикрии и ее роль в создании симпатии, доверия и психологического комфорта. Особое внимание уделяется влиянию эффекта хамелеона на межличностные отношения, семейное сходство, командную работу, ведение переговоров и обслуживание клиентов. В статье также анализируются как преимущества, так и риски мимикрии, включая возможные манипуляции, культурное несоответствие и усиление групповых различий. В заключение предлагаются практические рекомендации по использованию этого механизма в повседневном общении с умеренностью, естественностью и уважением к собеседнику.

Ключевые слова: эффект хамелеона; бессознательная мимикрия; социальное взаимодействие; зеркальные нейроны; эмпатия; коммуникация; невербальное поведение